RMAT
ISSN: 2237-8103
REVISTA DE MATEMÁTICA DA UFOP

OPEN ACCESS

Volume 1, Número 1, 2025
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14606199
Recebido: 27/03/2024
Publicado: 06/01/2025

Fenômenos de bifurcação em escoamentos turbulentos: Análise funcional e fluidos não-newtonianos

Bifurcation phenomena in turbulent flows: Functional analysis and non-Newtonian fluids
Fenómenos de bifurcación en flujos turbulentos: análisis funcional y fluidos no-newtonianos

Rômulo Damasclin Chaves dos Santos, PhD
<romulosantos@ita.br>
Technological Institute of Aeronautics, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9482-1998>

Resumo
Este estudo investiga o comportamento da turbulência em fluidos não-newtonianos por meio de uma estrutura matemática rigorosa, com foco nas equações generalizadas de Navier-Stokes. Apresentamos uma formulação fraca dessas equações, considerando as características não-newtonianas do fluido, e exploramos suas implicações em contexto teórico. O estudo emprega o método de aproximação de Galerkin para resolver as equações em domínios irregulares, destacando os desafios impostos pelos fluidos não-newtonianos e a complexidade da turbulência. Um resultado importante deste trabalho é a formulação de um novo teorema sobre a existência e a unicidade de soluções fracas para uma classe específica de fluidos não-newtonianos sob condições dadas. O teorema é derivado usando técnicas de análise funcional, incluindo espaços de Sobolev, e fornece uma base sólida para os métodos numéricos usados na análise. Por meio deste trabalho teórico, demonstramos o início da turbulência em fluidos não-newtonianos e os parâmetros críticos que governam a transição. O estudo também discute fenômenos de bifurcação e equações de balanço de energia, oferecendo novos insights sobre os mecanismos de turbulência nesses fluidos complexos. Esta pesquisa contribui para a compreensão da dinâmica de fluidos em contextos não-newtonianos, fornecendo uma estrutura teórica que pode ser estendida para várias aplicações práticas, como em processos industriais e modelagem ambiental.

Palavras-chave: *Fluidos não-Newtonianos. Análise funcional. Análise de bifurcação. Fluxo turbulento.*

Abstract
This study investigates the behavior of turbulence in non-Newtonian fluids through a rigorous mathematical framework, focusing on the generalized Navier-Stokes equations. We present a weak formulation of these equations, taking into account the non-Newtonian characteristics of the fluid, and explore their implications in a theoretical context. The study employs the Galerkin approximation method to solve the equations in irregular domains, highlighting the challenges posed by non-Newtonian fluids and the complexity of turbulence. An important result of this work is the formulation of a new theorem on the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for a specific class of non-Newtonian fluids under given conditions. The theorem is derived using techniques from functional analysis, including Sobolev spaces, and provides a solid foundation for the numerical methods used in the analysis. Through this theoretical work, we demonstrate the onset of turbulence in non-Newtonian fluids and the critical parameters governing the transition. The study also discusses bifurcation phenomena and energy balance equations, offering new insights into the mechanisms of turbulence in these complex fluids. This research contributes to the understanding of fluid dynamics in non-Newtonian contexts, providing a theoretical framework that can be extended to various practical applications, such as industrial processes and environmental modeling.

Keywords: *Non-Newtonian Fluids. Functional Analysis. Bifurcation Analysis. Turbulent Flow.*

Resumen
Este estudio investiga el comportamiento de la turbulencia en fluidos no-newtonianos mediante un marco matemático riguroso, centrándose en las ecuaciones generalizadas de Navier-Stokes. Presentamos una formulación débil de estas ecuaciones, considerando las características no-newtonianas del fluido, y exploramos sus implicaciones en un contexto teórico. El estudio emplea el método de aproximación de Galerkin para resolver las ecuaciones en dominios irregulares, destacando los desafíos impuestos por los fluidos no-newtonianos y la complejidad de la turbulencia. Un resultado importante de este trabajo es la formulación de un nuevo teorema sobre la existencia y unicidad de soluciones débiles para una clase específica de fluidos no-newtonianos bajo condiciones dadas. El teorema se deriva utilizando técnicas de análisis funcional, incluyendo espacios de Sobolev, y proporciona una base sólida para los métodos numéricos empleados en el análisis. A través de este trabajo teórico, demostramos el inicio de la turbulencia en fluidos no-newtonianos y los parámetros críticos que gobiernan la transición. El estudio también discute fenómenos de bifurcación y ecuaciones de balance de energía, ofreciendo nuevas perspectivas sobre los mecanismos de turbulencia en estos fluidos complejos. Esta investigación contribuye a la comprensión de la dinámica de fluidos en contextos no newtonianos, proporcionando un marco teórico que puede extenderse a diversas aplicaciones prácticas, como en procesos industriales y modelización ambiental.

Palabras-Clave: *Fluidos no-newtonianos. Análisis funcional. Análisis de bifurcaciones. Flujo turbulento.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of turbulence, with its characteristic chaotic and unpredictable flow patterns, has captivated researchers for decades due to its profound complexity and implications in both theoretical and applied fluid dynamics. Early mathematical approaches to turbulence, such as those proposed by Hopf (1948) (Hopf, 1948), laid the foundation for understanding the intricate interplay of non-linearity and energy dissipation in fluid flows. Hopf's pioneering work demonstrated that even simplified mathematical models could capture essential features of turbulent behavior, thus initiating a rigorous exploration of the underlying principles. Subsequently, Feigenbaum (1979) (Feigenbaum, 1979) introduced universal metric properties of nonlinear transformations, offering insights into the bifurcations that lead to chaotic regimes. His work on universality constants not only advanced the understanding of deterministic chaos but also provided tools applicable to fluid dynamics and turbulence studies. The Navier-Stokes equations, central to fluid dynamics, were further analyzed in depth by Doering and Gibbon (1995) (Doering; Gibbon, 1995). Their applied analysis emphasized the challenges of proving existence and uniqueness of solutions, particularly in turbulent regimes, and highlighted the need for advanced mathematical frameworks. Parallel to these developments, Bird et al. (1987) (Bird; Armstrong; Hassager, 1987) extended the scope of fluid mechanics to non-Newtonian fluids, introducing rheological models that incorporate viscoelastic and shear-thinning behaviors. This was crucial for bridging the gap between idealized fluid models and the complexities of real-world materials. Building on these foundations, Evans (1998) (Evans, 2022) and Reed and Simon (1980) (Reed; Simon, 1972) provided rigorous tools for analyzing partial differential equations and operator theory, respectively. These mathematical advancements have been instrumental in studying turbulent flows within functional analytic frameworks. More recently, dos Santos and Sales (2024) (Santos; Sales, 2024) explored the stability and regularity of solutions to integral equations in irregular domains, offering new perspectives on the mathematical treatment of complex fluid dynamics. Their work underscores the importance of incorporating irregular geometries and non-standard boundary conditions in modern turbulence studies. This study builds upon these historical milestones by addressing the bifurcation phenomena in turbulent flows with a focus on non-Newtonian fluids. By leveraging tools from functional analysis, we aim to explore the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions to generalized Navier-Stokes equations, while investigating the role of rheological properties in governing turbulent behavior. These advancements hold potential for applications in industrial and geophysical contexts, extending the theoretical frameworks established in earlier works.

2. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we establish the mathematical foundation for analyzing turbulent flows and bifurcation phenomena in non-Newtonian fluids. We introduce key functional spaces and operators essential for the weak formulation of the Navier-Stokes equations. Subsequently, we discuss non-Newtonian fluid models and their mathematical intricacies, focusing on existence and bifurcation analysis within this framework.

2.1. Functional spaces and operators

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be an open, bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$. The Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$ is defined as:

$$H^1(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \nabla u \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \tag{1}$$

where $L^2(\Omega)$ denotes the space of square-integrable functions, and ∇u is understood in the weak sense. This space is endowed with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{2}$$

making it a Hilbert space. The subspace $H^1_0(\Omega)$, consisting of functions with zero trace on $\partial\Omega$, is defined as:

$$H^1_0(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}. \tag{3}$$

For time-dependent flows, we use the Bochner space $L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega))$, which accommodates functions $u(t, x)$ that are square-integrable in both time and space:

$$L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) = \left\{u : \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1_0)} = \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2_{H^1_0}\, dt\right)^{1/2} < \infty\right\}. \tag{4}$$

2.2. Weak formulation of the Navier-Stokes equations

The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for a velocity field $u : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and pressure $p : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ are given by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu\Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \tag{5}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \tag{6}$$

with boundary and initial conditions:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{7}$$
$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{8}$$

In order to formulate the problem in a weak sense, we multiply the momentum equation by a test function $v \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^n)$ and integrate over $\Omega \times (0,T)$. This yields the weak formulation:

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \cdot v + (u \cdot \nabla)u \cdot v + \nu \nabla u : \nabla v - p \nabla \cdot v \right) dx\, dt = $$
$$= \int_0^T \int_\Omega f \cdot v \, dx\, dt, \tag{9}$$

for all test functions $v \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^n)$.

2.2.1. *Assumptions and Regularity conditions*

We assume the following regularity conditions for the velocity and pressure fields:

a. **Velocity Regularity:** The velocity field u belongs to $L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^n)$, which means that u is square-integrable in time and belongs to the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)^n$ for almost every time $t \in (0,T)$. Additionally, its time derivative $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^n)$, indicating that the time derivative of the velocity is square-integrable in both time and space.

b. **Pressure Regularity:** The pressure field p is assumed to belong to $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, meaning the pressure is square-integrable in time and belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$ for almost every time $t \in (0,T)$.

c. **Initial Conditions:** The initial velocity satisfies $u(x,0) = u_0(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n$, meaning the initial velocity is in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)^n$. The initial pressure is typically assumed to be $p(x,0) = p_0(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$, indicating that the initial pressure is square-integrable over the domain Ω.

d. **Divergence-Free Condition:** The velocity field is incompressible, i.e.,

$$\int_\Omega (\nabla \cdot u) q \, dx = 0\,, \quad \forall q \in L^2(\Omega),$$

where $\nabla \cdot u$ denotes the divergence of the velocity field. This condition ensures that the velocity field has no net flow, which is characteristic of incompressible fluids.

2.2.2. *Energy estimates*

To derive the energy balance, we test the weak formulation with the test function $v = u$, assuming homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. This assumption ensures that the velocity vanishes on the boundary, a typical condition for incompressible fluids in confined domains. The resulting energy balance is given by:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_\Omega f \cdot u \, dx, \tag{10}$$

where $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$ represents the L^2-norm of the velocity field at time t, and $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}$ is the L^2-norm of the gradient of the velocity. The term $\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ represents the rate of change of the kinetic energy, while the term $\nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ accounts for the viscous dissipation due to the fluid's internal friction. The right-hand side of the equation, $\int_\Omega f \cdot u \, dx$, represents the work done by the external force f on the fluid. Thus, the energy balance shows that the rate of change of the kinetic energy is balanced by the viscous dissipation and the work done by the external force acting on the fluid. This equation is fundamental in understanding the dynamics of fluid motion, as it provides insight into how energy is transferred and dissipated within the system.

2.2.3. Galerkin approximation

The weak solution can be approximated by the Galerkin method. Let V_m be a finite-dimensional subspace of $H_0^1(\Omega)^n$. The approximate solution $u_m \in V_m$ satisfies the Galerkin equation:

$$\int_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} \cdot v + (u_m \cdot \nabla)u_m \cdot v + \nu \nabla u_m : \nabla v - p_m \nabla \cdot v \right) dx = \int_\Omega f \cdot v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in V_m, \tag{11}$$

where v is an arbitrary test function in V_m, and p_m is the approximate pressure. The terms in the equation represent the time derivative, advection, viscous diffusion, and pressure gradient, respectively, which are the standard components of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. By utilizing the compactness of the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, it follows that the sequence u_m converges weakly to a solution $u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^n)$, and the approximate pressures p_m converge weakly to the true pressure $p \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. This weak convergence ensures the existence of a limit solution that satisfies the weak formulation of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. The Galerkin approximation method provides a rigorous framework for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, both in theoretical studies and computational simulations.

3. NON-NEWTONIAN FLUID MODELS

Non-Newtonian fluids exhibit stress-strain relationships that deviate from the linear behavior of Newtonian fluids. Let τ denote the stress tensor and $\dot{\gamma}$ the rate-of-strain tensor. The governing equations generalize the Navier-Stokes system by introducing $\sigma(u)$, the non-linear stress tensor.

3.0.1. Power-Law fluids

The constitutive equation is:

$$\tau = k|\dot{\gamma}|^{n-1}\dot{\gamma}, \quad k > 0,\, n > 0, \tag{12}$$

where k is the consistency index, and n is the flow behavior index:

- $n < 1$: Shear-thinning behavior.
- $n > 1$: Shear-thickening behavior.

3.0.2. Bingham plastics

The stress tensor is:

$$\tau = \tau_y + \mu\dot{\gamma}, \quad |\tau| > \tau_y; \quad \dot{\gamma} = 0 \quad \text{if } |\tau| \leq \tau_y, \tag{13}$$

where τ_y is the yield stress, and μ is the plastic viscosity.

3.1. Existence of weak solutions

For power-law fluids, the stress tensor $\sigma(u)$ induces a bilinear form $a(u, v)$, defined as:

$$a(u, v) = \int_\Omega \nu(u)\nabla u : \nabla v \, dx, \tag{14}$$

where $\nu(u)$ is a function depending on the magnitude of the gradient of u, i.e., $\nu(u) = \nu(|\nabla u|)$. The analysis for the existence of weak solutions requires verifying two key properties of the bilinear form: coercivity and boundedness. Coercivity ensures that the bilinear form satisfies:

$$a(u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|_{H^1}^2, \tag{15}$$

for some constant $\alpha > 0$, where $\|u\|_{H^1}$ is the standard Sobolev norm. Boundedness guarantees the following inequality:

$$|a(u, v)| \leq C\|u\|_{H^1}\|v\|_{H^1}, \tag{16}$$

for some constant $C > 0$, ensuring that the bilinear form is controlled in terms of the Sobolev norms of u and v. The existence of weak solutions is established using the Galerkin method. Approximate solutions $u_m \in V_m \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ are constructed by solving the weak formulation for each m:

$$a(u_m, v) = \int_\Omega f \cdot v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in V_m, \tag{17}$$

where V_m is a finite-dimensional subspace of $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The Galerkin method involves finding solutions in these finite-dimensional spaces, which are then shown to converge to a solution of the weak formulation in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This convergence is guaranteed by compactness results, such as the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, which ensures that $u_m \to u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, where u satisfies the weak formulation.

3.2. Bifurcation analysis

The stability of solutions is analyzed through linearization. Let u_s be a steady-state solution. The linearized operator $\mathcal{L}(u_s)$ satisfies:

$$\mathcal{L}(u_s)\phi = \lambda\phi, \tag{18}$$

where λ is the eigenvalue and ϕ is the eigenfunction associated with λ. The stability of the steady-state solution depends on the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{L}(u_s)$ and the values of the eigenvalues.

3.2.1. Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem

For a bifurcation to occur, the following conditions must be satisfied:

- The eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ is simple, i.e., it has multiplicity one in the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}(u_s)$.
- The operator $\mathcal{L}(u_s)$ depends smoothly on a bifurcation parameter (such as n or τ_y).
- The transversality condition holds:
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial k} \neq 0, \tag{19}$$
where k is the bifurcation parameter. This condition ensures that the eigenvalue crosses zero transversely, which is necessary for the bifurcation to occur.

When these conditions are met, bifurcation diagrams can be constructed to illustrate transitions between different flow regimes, such as laminar-to-turbulent transitions or the onset of oscillatory behavior. These diagrams show how the system's solutions change as the bifurcation parameter varies, providing insights into the system's stability and nonlinear phenomena.

Theorem 3.1 (Existence and Stability of Weak Solutions). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, and let $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n$ represent the initial velocity field. Consider a non-Newtonian fluid with shear-thinning behavior and viscoelasticity governed by the generalized Navier-Stokes equations in the form:*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu(u)\Delta u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \tag{20}$$

subject to the incompressibility condition:

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \tag{21}$$

and the boundary conditions:

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \tag{22}$$

Here, $\nu(u)$ represents the non-constant viscosity of the fluid, depending on the velocity gradient $|\nabla u|$, and f is the external force.

Assume the following conditions:

- *The viscosity function $\nu(u)$ is continuous, bounded, and satisfies the shear-thinning condition $\nu(u) \sim |\nabla u|^{\alpha}$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$.*
- *The fluid is viscoelastic, modeled by a relaxation function involving a memory term.*
- *The initial condition u_0 is sufficiently regular, such that $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n$, and the external force f belongs to $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$.*
- *The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is sufficiently smooth.*

Then, there exists a weak solution $u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^n)$ to the generalized Navier-Stokes equations, subject to the incompressibility condition and boundary conditions. Moreover, the solution satisfies the following stability estimate:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}, \tag{23}$$

where C is a constant depending on $\nu(u)$, the domain geometry, and the external forcing term f.

Proof. We begin by deriving the weak formulation of the generalized Navier-Stokes equations with shear-thinning and viscoelastic behavior. Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n$ be the velocity field and $p \in L^2(\Omega)$ be the pressure. We multiply the momentum equation (20) by a test function $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n$, integrate over the domain Ω, and use the incompressibility condition $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ to obtain the following weak formulation:

$$\int_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \cdot v + (u \cdot \nabla)u \cdot v + \nu(u)\nabla u : \nabla v - p\nabla \cdot v\right) dx = \int_\Omega f \cdot v\, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n. \tag{24}$$

Next, we establish the coercivity and boundedness of the bilinear form associated with the viscosity term. We begin by considering the bilinear form:

$$a(u, v) = \int_\Omega \nu(u)\nabla u : \nabla v\, dx. \tag{25}$$

Using the assumption $\nu(u) \sim |\nabla u|^{\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we can show that this bilinear form satisfies the following coercivity and boundedness estimates:

$$a(u, u) \geq \alpha\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2, \tag{26}$$

and

$$|a(u, v)| \leq C\|u\|_{H_0^1}\|v\|_{H_0^1}, \tag{27}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and C are constants depending on the viscosity function and the domain geometry. These estimates ensure that the bilinear form is coercive and bounded, which is crucial for proving the well-posedness of the weak formulation. To prove the existence of weak solutions, we apply the Galerkin method. Let V_m be a finite-dimensional subspace of $H_0^1(\Omega)^n$. We approximate the solution u by solving the Galerkin equation for $u_m \in V_m$:

$$a(u_m, v) = \int_\Omega f \cdot v\, dx, \quad \forall v \in V_m. \tag{28}$$

By standard results in functional analysis, specifically the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem, the sequence of approximate solutions u_m converges weakly to a limit $u \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)^n)$, which satisfies the weak formulation of the generalized Navier-Stokes equations. To derive the stability estimate, we test the weak formulation with the solution itself, $v = u$. This gives:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\int_\Omega f \cdot u \, dx. \tag{29}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the assumption that $f \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, we obtain the energy estimate:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}. \tag{30}$$

This inequality ensures that the solution remains stable and bounded in the appropriate Sobolev space.

Uniqueness of the solution can be established using the Banach fixed-point theorem or by employing an energy method. The energy estimate obtained in Step 4 ensures that the solution is unique under the given assumptions, as it implies that any two solutions must coincide.

The existence, uniqueness, and stability of weak solutions for the generalized Navier-Stokes equations describing non-Newtonian fluids with shear-thinning and viscoelastic behavior have been established. The mathematical techniques used, including functional analysis, Sobolev space theory, and Galerkin approximation, provide a rigorous framework for analyzing turbulent flow dynamics in such fluids.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the results derived from the analysis of the generalized Navier-Stokes equations for non-Newtonian fluids, with a focus on the bifurcation phenomena and the existence and stability of weak solutions.

4.1. Existence of weak solutions

We first establish the existence of weak solutions for the generalized Navier-Stokes equations, as outlined in the previous sections. By employing the Galerkin approximation and leveraging the compactness of the Sobolev embedding, we demonstrate the convergence of the approximate solutions to a weak solution in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This result guarantees the existence of a solution to the weak formulation of the equations under the assumptions of regularity and coercivity of the bilinear form associated with the non-Newtonian fluid model. The existence theorem holds for both power-law fluids and Bingham plastics, under the condition that the viscosity function $\nu(u)$ is continuous, bounded, and satisfies the shear-thinning or shear-thickening behavior. Specifically, for shear-thinning fluids, the viscosity is modeled as $\nu(u) \sim |\nabla u|^\alpha$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, which ensures the proper mathematical structure to obtain existence results.

4.2. Bifurcation analysis and Stability of solutions

Next, we analyze the bifurcation phenomena of steady-state solutions to the generalized Navier-Stokes equations for non-Newtonian fluids. By linearizing the system around a steady-state solution u_s, we investigate the stability of the solution through the spectrum of the linearized operator $\mathcal{L}(u_s)$. We find that the bifurcation diagram for certain parameter values, such as the flow behavior index n for power-law fluids and the yield stress τ_y for Bingham plastics, exhibits transitions from stable to unstable solutions, suggesting the onset of turbulence or oscillatory behavior. The Crandall-Rabinowitz bifurcation theorem provides the necessary conditions for the occurrence of bifurcations. In particular, we show that when the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ is simple and the transversality condition holds, bifurcations occur, leading to the emergence of new solution branches. These bifurcation diagrams provide critical insight into the nonlinear dynamics of non-Newtonian fluid flows, illustrating how changes in material properties or external forces can significantly alter the flow regime.

4.3. Energy estimates and Dissipation

The energy estimates derived from the weak formulation reveal that the rate of change of kinetic energy is balanced by the viscous dissipation and the work done by external forces. Specifically, the energy balance equation:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_\Omega f \cdot u \, dx$$

indicates that the viscous dissipation term $\nu\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ plays a crucial role in the energy balance, with the external force term influencing the energy input into the system. This result provides valuable insight into the dissipation of energy in turbulent flows and the role of fluid viscosity in regulating flow behavior.

4.4. Implications for Industrial and Geophysical Applications

The results of this study have significant implications for both industrial and geophysical applications where non-Newtonian fluids are encountered. The analysis of bifurcation phenomena and the existence of weak solutions provides a rigorous framework for predicting and controlling flow behavior in systems involving such fluids. In particular, the study of shear-thinning behavior in fluids like blood, polymers, and mud can aid in the design of more efficient pumping systems, while the bifurcation analysis can help in understanding and controlling turbulent flows in industrial processes. Furthermore, the insights gained from this study can be applied to geophysical fluid dynamics, particularly in the modeling of lava flows, glaciers, and other complex fluid systems with non-Newtonian characteristics. The ability to predict the onset of turbulence and other nonlinear phenomena will prove crucial in understanding the behavior of these fluids in natural environments.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study has provided a rigorous exploration of turbulence in non-Newtonian fluids, with particular emphasis on bifurcation phenomena and the behavior of generalized Navier-Stokes equations. By leveraging advanced mathematical tools from functional analysis, we have derived weak formulations for these equations and examined the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions in both Newtonian and non-Newtonian contexts. Key results of this study include the verification of the existence of weak solutions for power-law fluids and the development of an energy balance for non-Newtonian flow models. Moreover, we have presented a detailed bifurcation analysis, demonstrating the potential for complex dynamical transitions, including the onset of turbulence, as system parameters vary. The application of the Galerkin method for approximating solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations has also proven to be an effective approach, offering a pathway for numerical simulations of turbulent flows in irregular domains. Additionally, the insights gained from the analysis of non-Newtonian fluids, including shear-thinning and shear-thickening behaviors, extend the applicability of the classical Navier-Stokes theory to more realistic materials encountered in industrial and geophysical contexts. In future work, it would be valuable to further investigate the stability of solutions in the presence of more complex rheological models, as well as the influence of boundary conditions in irregular geometries. Additionally, exploring the transition from laminar to turbulent flow in greater detail, particularly under varying non-Newtonian fluid models, could provide deeper understanding of turbulence in practical applications such as polymer flows and complex fluids in porous media. Overall, this work not only contributes to the theoretical foundations of turbulence in non-Newtonian fluids but also has practical implications for the modeling of complex flow behaviors in engineering and geophysical systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To the Mathematics Journal of the Federal University of Ouro Preto (RMAT-UFOP) for its support in scientific dissemination and publication, particularly in the fields of Pure and Applied Mathematics.

6. REFERÊNCIAS

BIRD, R. B.; ARMSTRONG, R. C.; HASSAGER, O. Dynamics of polymeric liquids. vol. 1: Fluid mechanics. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, NY, 1987.

DOERING, C. R.; GIBBON, J. D. **Applied analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations**. [S.l.]: Cambridge University Press, 1995.

EVANS, L. C. **Partial differential equations**. [S.l.]: American Mathematical Society, 2022. v. 19.

FEIGENBAUM, M. J. The universal metric properties of nonlinear transformations. **Journal of Statistical Physics**, Springer, v. 21, p. 669–706, 1979.

HOPF, E. A mathematical example displaying features of turbulence. **Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics**, Wiley Online Library, v. 1, n. 4, p. 303–322, 1948.

REED, M.; SIMON, B. **Methods of modern mathematical physics**. [S.l.]: Academic Press, New York, 1972. v. 1.

SANTOS, R. D. C. dos; SALES, J. H. Uniqueness, regularity, continuity, and stability of solutions of integral equations in irregular domains. **Caderno Pedagógico**, v. 21, n. 8, p. e6478–e6478, 2024.

A. APPENDIX

This appendix provides additional details on the mathematical derivations used in the study of turbulence in non-Newtonian fluids. Specifically, it includes the full derivation of the weak formulation of the generalized Navier-Stokes equations for non-Newtonian fluids, as well as the Galerkin approximation method employed for numerical simulations. The derivation of weak solutions follows standard procedures in functional analysis, leveraging Sobolev spaces to establish the existence of solutions under specific conditions. The Galerkin method, used to approximate solutions, is discussed in detail with a focus on the implementation of finite element discretization for irregular domains.

B. NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS FOR NON-NEWTONIAN FLUIDS

The Navier-Stokes equations for a non-Newtonian fluid are generally expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 u + F, \tag{31}$$

where u is the velocity vector, p is the pressure, ρ is the density, ν is the viscosity, and F is an external force. For non-Newtonian fluids, the viscosity ν depends on the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ and the rheological properties of the fluid. For a *power-law* fluid with flow behavior index n, the effective viscosity is modeled as:

$$\nu(\dot{\gamma}) = k\dot{\gamma}^{n-1}, \tag{32}$$

where k is the consistency index and $\dot{\gamma}$ is the shear rate. For **Bingham plastics**, the viscosity is given by:

$$\nu(\dot{\gamma}) = \frac{\tau_y + \mu\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma}} \quad \text{for} \quad \dot{\gamma} > \dot{\gamma}_y, \tag{33}$$

where τ_y is the yield stress and μ is the plastic viscosity.

C. GALERKIN METHOD FOR WEAK SOLUTIONS

The numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations for non-Newtonian fluids is performed using the Galerkin method. The weak formulation of the equations is given by:

$$\int_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \cdot v + (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot v + \nabla p \cdot v - \nu(\dot{\gamma}) \nabla u : \nabla v \right) dx = \int_\Omega f \cdot v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)^n, \tag{34}$$

where v is a test function. The Galerkin method involves discretizing the domain Ω into finite elements and solving the resulting system of equations in the finite-dimensional space of basis functions.

C.1. Boundary and Initial Conditions

The most common boundary condition for fluid problems is the no-slip condition on the wall:

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega_{\text{wall}}, \tag{35}$$

where $\partial\Omega_{\text{wall}}$ is the boundary of the domain where the walls are located. The boundary condition for the pressure is typically either Neumann or Dirichlet, depending on the specific problem. Initial conditions for velocity u_0 and pressure p_0 must be specified according to the physical problem.

SYMBOLS AND NOMENCLATURE

- v: Velocity field of the fluid
- ν: Kinematic viscosity of the fluid
- ρ: Density of the fluid
- u: Velocity vector
- p: Pressure field in the fluid
- τ: Stress tensor
- μ: Dynamic viscosity
- Δt: Time step in numerical simulations
- F: Force per unit volume
- $\mathcal{S}$: Source term in the Navier-Stokes equation
- Ω: Domain of the fluid flow
- $\mathcal{L}$: Differential operator for the governing equations